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Objective: To further delineate the anatomy of the motor nerve to the vastus lateralis (MNVL) in the context of its use as a possible interpositional nerve graft in facial nerve rehabilitation.

Methods: Twelve fresh human cadaveric thighs were dissected to investigate the anatomic location and branching pattern of the MNVL muscle.

Results: There were 3 to 6 primary nerve branches (mean, 4.4) supplying the vastus lateralis. The mean primary branch length was 93.8 mm (range, 51-196 mm), and each primary branch had a mean of 2.3 subsequent branches.

Conclusion: The nerve to the vastus lateralis is a readily available, redundant motor nerve suitable for facial nerve cable grafting.


Facial nerve paralysis is a potentially devastating cranial nerve injury with both functional and psychological impact. Neural compromise following tumor resection or in cases of traumatic and iatrogenic injury can be treated in several ways. Direct anastomosis is the preferred treatment in cases of neurotmesis if there is sufficient length to provide a tension-free coaptation. If direct anastomosis is not possible, a cable graft spanning the interrupted segment is accomplished with an autologous nerve. Resection of malignant tumors affecting the facial nerve commonly results in large segments of neural discontinuity that may involve several branches of the facial nerve.

Reconstruction of complicated craniofacial defects often necessitates free tissue transfer. The anterolateral thigh (ALT) free flap is a versatile and reliable soft-tissue microvascular flap. During harvest of the ALT flap, the motor nerve to the vastus lateralis muscle (MNVL) is commonly encountered in close proximity to the vascular pedicle. The nerve has been noted to be redundant in its course, and its multiple-branching pattern is ideal for reconstitution of multiple facial nerve branches. However, controversy exists regarding the sequelae of nerve resection during flap harvest. There is a paucity of literature describing the typical course of the MNVL. Furthermore, there is little discussion regarding potential use of the MNVL as a cable graft. Herein, we describe the anatomic distribution of the MNVL in cadaveric dissections and discuss the novel use of the nerve as a cable graft in facial nerve grafting.

Methods

The study involves both anatomic dissection and description of clinical cases. First, an anatomic cadaveric study was performed using fresh cadavers bequeathed to the Cleveland Clinic Body Donation Program (Cleveland, Ohio). Dissections were performed under the supervision and guidance of anatomy faculty in the Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine who oversee this program. The institutional review board of the Cleveland Clinic approved this study.

Twelve fresh human cadaveric thighs from 6 cadavers were dissected to describe the course of the MNVL. Incisions were made in the skin from the anterior superior iliac crest to the lateral patella, and the tensor fascia lata muscle was incised along this length for optimal exposure. Under loupe magnification, the nerve was located proximally at the inguinal ligament and dissected distally, coursing between the rectus femoris muscle and the vastus lateralis muscle. The main trunk and its subsequent divisions were traced distally. Primary nerve branches were defined as those arising from the bulk of the descending nerve. Any further arborization beyond the primary branches was considered a secondary branch. Each branch (primary or secondary or both) was measured using a standard surgical ruler. When necessary, the vascular pedicle was divided to follow the course of the nerve and obtain accurate measurements. The relation of the nerve to the vascular pedicle was also recorded.
The nerve to the vastus lateralis was found to branch extensively as it coursed distally through the thigh. There were 3 to 6 primary nerve branches (mean, 4.4) supplying the vastus lateralis in each thigh (Figure 1). The mean branch length was 93.8 mm (range, 51-196), and each primary branch had an average of 2.3 secondary branches (Table). In each specimen there were at least 2 larger branches (>2 mm in diameter) supplying the proximal and distal muscle. The nerve branches are variable in their relation to the vascular pedicle and perforating vessels of the descending branch of the lateral circumflex femoral artery (DLCFA).

### Table. Anatomic Details of the Motor Nerve to the Vastus Lateralis From 12 Cadaver Lower Limbs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen No.</th>
<th>Primary Branches, No.</th>
<th>Primary Branch Length, Mean, mm</th>
<th>Maximum Primary Branch Length, mm</th>
<th>Secondary Branches per Primary Branch, Mean, No.</th>
<th>Type of Relationship to Vascular Pediclea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>147.3</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>118.3</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>143.3</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>108.7</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>103.4</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>130.3</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>144.8</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aThe numbers indicate the following: 0, the nerve is not involved with pedicle; 1a, the motor nerve passes through a perforator from the descending branch of the lateral circumflex femoral artery (DLCFA); 1b, the motor nerve passes through the main vascular pedicle of the DLCFA; II, the motor nerve passes between 2 adjacent perforators.*
by 6 months. These data suggest that harvest of a portion of the MNVL does not impair overall lower extremity function. This may be related to the redundancy of the nerve supply to the muscle or to the possibility of reinnervation.

The apparent redundancy and lack of consequences with nerve sacrifice make the MNVL an enticing option for cable grafting. Traditionally, cable grafting for facial nerve repair has been accomplished with sensory nerves, including the great auricular, sural, or medial and lateral antebrachial cutaneous nerves. Although it is clear that nerve regeneration can be accomplished regardless of donor nerve modality, there is evidence that matching modality of donor and recipient nerve for grafting may provide optimal results. In rat femoral and tibial nerve repair models, motor and mixed motor-sensory donor nerves provided enhanced motor nerve regeneration over purely sensory donor nerves, termed “preferential reinnervation.”

Aside from the advantages as a motor nerve, the MNVL provides several other advantages over the most commonly used sensory nerve interpositional grafts. When including 1 or more branches, the MNVL can provide grafts more than 30 cm in length, rivaling the length of sural nerve grafts without the need for leg incisions. In addition, using the MNVL obviates sensory deficits associated with sural and medial antebrachial cutaneous nerve harvest. The multiple-branching pattern of the MNVL is optimal for facial nerve grafting in cases in which several branches may need to be grafted. Ideally, with resection of the facial nerve, frontal, orbital, and marginal mandibular branches important for eye closure and oral competence should be preferentially reanimated. To graft multiple branches, sural, great auricular, and antebrachial cutaneous nerves may require splitting that could reduce the number of available axons for regeneration and may damage the nerve substance. In addition, when compared with sural nerve grafts, the nerve caliber of MNVL provides a more optimal match with facial nerve branches, potentially offering more reliable outcomes.

The MNVL is well suited for both interpositional and potentially for cross-facial grafts. In settings in which more complicated reconstruction is required, such as in radical parotidectomy defects, the nerve can be harvested at the same time as ALT soft-tissue flaps and/or fascia lata for suspension or as an adjunct to temporalis tendon transfer. In our early experience we harvested the nerve with either an ALT flap or tensor fascia lata or as a stand-alone graft (Figure 4). We have not observed any do-

Figure 2. Relation of the nerve to the vastus lateralis (arrow) to the descending branch of the lateral femoral circumflex artery (arrowhead).

Figure 3. The large-caliber, redundant motor nerve to the vastus lateralis (arrow) courses along the ALT resection bed in a flap harvest.

Figure 4. Example of use of nerve to vastus lateralis in facial nerve reconstruction. A, Nerve graft in place with revascularized anterolateral thigh fat/fascia flap for reconstruction (arrow). B, Close-up of nerve graft to 2 facial nerve branches.
Harvest of the MNVL requires nominal increase in operative time, especially when harvested in conjunction with ALT flaps or tensor fascia lata. In the setting of radical parotidectomy reconstruction, in which other soft-tissue techniques are to be used and local nerve sources (eg, great auricular) are not adequate, we advocate cable grafting with a branch of MNVL because it does not seem to provide additional morbidity and has favorable length, caliber, and branching patterns in comparison with other distant harvest sites, such as the sural or medial anterbrachial cutaneous nerve.

Potential disadvantages of MNVL harvest would include an incision along the lateral thigh. However, an incision along the thigh may be preferred over a calf incision and lateral foot anesthesia (sural nerve harvest) or medial arm incision and medial forearm anesthesia (medial anterbrachial cutaneous nerve harvest) in some patients. Although no apparent long-term motor dysfunction is anticipated as a result of MNVL harvest, prospective studies with preoperative and postoperative strength testing should be accomplished to confirm this observation.

In conclusion, the MNVL is a multiple-branching motor nerve commonly associated with the descending branch of the lateral femoral cutaneous artery. It is observed during ALT flap dissection and can be harvested without additional morbidity to the patient, providing favorable graft length, caliber, and branching pattern. Interpositional grafting of facial nerve discontinuity using a portion of the motor nerve to vastus lateralis provides the potential for facial tone reconstitution and mimetic functional recovery.
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